UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/572,813	04/17/2006	Philip Rodney Kwok	JPD-4398-523	4898
23117 NIXON & VAN	7590 08/07/200 NDERHYE, PC	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH G	LEBE ROAD, 11TH F	DOUGLAS, STEVEN O		
ARLINGTON, VA 22203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3771	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/07/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/572,813	KWOK, PHILIP RODNEY
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	/Steven O. Douglas/	3771
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 Jules</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the practice of the practic	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 14-31 is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	vn from consideration. r election requirement.	Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)	(PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date See Continuation Sheet.	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte

 $Continuation \ of \ Attachment(s)\ 3).\ Information \ Disclosure \ Statement(s)\ (PTO/SB/08),\ Paper\ No(s)/Mail\ Date :07062009,01262009,08242006,03222006.$

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Species I. (claims 1-13) in the reply filed on 7/6/09 is acknowledged. Accordingly, claims 14-31 have been withdrawn from further consideration by Examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7 and 9-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ho (US 6,435,184).

The Ho reference discloses a gas mask apparatus comprising a shell 1, a cushion 12 and an air flow generator (27,34), but fails to disclose the air flow generator as being capable of creating a pressure of about 2-40 cm H₂O. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Ho device to operate at a pressure of about 2-40 cm H₂O since it has held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

In regard to claim 10, see power cord (3,72) and power source or batteries 24.

face (i.e. body) of a user with the strap17 (i.e. at least one fastener) of the device.

In regard to claim 12, the power source or batteries 24 of the device is fastened to the

Page 3

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ho (US 6,435,184) in view of Frater et al. (US 6,772,760).

The Ho reference discloses a gas mask apparatus (supra), but fails to disclose the cushion as being made of a silicone elastomer. The Frater et al. discloses another gas mask apparatus having a cushion 30 made of a conventionally known silicone elastomer. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute a known silicone elastomer material for the material in which the Ho cushion is comprised of, wherein so doing would amount to the mere substitution of one type of cushion material for another that would work equally as well in the Ho device.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to /Steven O. Douglas/ whose telephone number is (571) 272-4885. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 6:30-5:00.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/572,813 Page 4

Art Unit: 3771

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Steven O. Douglas/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3771

SD 8/6/09